1	Christopher D. Moon, SBN 246622	
2	chris@moonlawapc.com Kevin O. Moon, SBN 246792	
3	kevin@moonlawapc.com MOON LAW APC	
4	228 Hamilton Ave., 3rd Fl Palo Alto, California 94301	
5	Telephone: (619) 915-9432	
6	Facsimile: (650) 618-0478	
7	Attorneys for Caroline Schneider	
8		
9	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISON
11		
12	In re	Case No. 19-30088-DM
13	PG&E Corporation,	Chapter 11 Lead Case, Jointly Administered
14	and	·
15	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	MOTION PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. PROC. 7015 AND 7017 TO
16	COMPANY, Debtors.	ENLARGE TIME TO FILE PROOF OF CLAIM PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR.
17		PROC. 9006(b)(1)
18	[] Affects PG&E Corporation	Date: February 15, 2022 Time: 10 a.m. (Pacific Time)
19	[] Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company [x] Affects both Debtors	Place: Telephonic/Video Appearances Only
20	*All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No.	United States Bankruptcy Court Courtroom 17,
21	19-30088-DM	450 Golden Gate Ave., 16 th Floor San Francisco, CA
22		Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali
23		Objection deadline: February 8, 2022
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

⁻¹Entered: 01/03/22 22:11:08 Page 1

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11786 Filed: 01/03/22 of 21

Pursuant to Rules 7015 and 7017 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, which incorporate Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15 and 17, by this motion ("Motion") Caroline Schneider ("Movant") seeks an order expanding the time to file her proof of claim. Movant filed the proof of claim #108022 after the deadline.

This Motion is based upon the points and authorities set forth herein and the concurrently filed Notice of Hearing and Declaration of Christopher D. Moon ("Moon Decl.") in support of the Motion, in addition to any evidence or oral argument presented at the time of any hearing on this matter. In support thereof, the Movant, by and through her undersigned counsel, respectfully represent as follows:

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The general bar date in these cases was October 21, 2019 ("Original Bar Date"). The process for submission of timely claims was continued after the Original Bar Date. Pursuant to the Stipulation Between Debtors and Official Committee of Tort Claimants to Extend Bar Date for Fire Claimants and for Appointment of Claims Representative (Dkt No. 4651), the Original Bar Date was extended for the benefit of Unfiled Fire Claimants to December 31, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. (Prevailing Pacific Time) and then extended again to February 26, 2021 at 5:00 p.m. (Prevailing Pacific Time). The Debtors' Chapter 11 plan ("Plan") was confirmed by court order on June 20, 2020, and pursuant to the Notice of Effective Date, the Plan became effective as of July 1, 2020.

This Motion concerns the claim of Caroline Schneider. Ms. Schneider incorrectly believed she did not have a valid claim because she rented her residence in Magalia at the time of the Camp Fire. It was not until recently that Ms. Schneider learned for the first time that she could still seek damages for her losses from the Camp Fire despite not owning the property in which she resided at the time of the fire.

Under Rules 7015 and 7017 of Federal Bankruptcy Procedure (which incorporate Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15(c) and 17(a)(3)), because there is a lack of bad faith on the part of Movant and her counsel, the mistake should be corrected by permitting the late filing of the proof of claim under Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b).

Application of the so-called *Pioneer* factors shows that Movant's late filing is the result of excusable neglect and therefore permissible under Rule 9006(b)(1). As to the first such factor, Movant's late filing will cause no prejudice to Debtors, inasmuch as the Plan has been

confirmed, the associated Fire Victim Trust funded, and so the inclusion of Movant's claim in the pool of fire victim claims will have no impact at all on the Debtors or the bankruptcy estates. As to the second *Pioneer* factor, Movant's delay in filing her claim and any resultant impact on these proceedings are exceedingly modest and immaterial for the same reasons that Debtors will not be prejudiced. As to the third *Pioneer* factor, the reason for the delay and whether it was in Movant's reasonable control, is that Ms. Schneider only recently learned for the first time that she could still pursue damages for her losses from the Camp Fire despite not owning her residence in Magalia at the time of the fire. Upon learning of her rights, Ms. Schneider promptly contacted Moon Law and, thereafter, filed her Proof of Claim on December 27, 2021. Movant acted in good faith, satisfying the fourth *Pioneer* factor. Because consideration of the *Pioneer* factors points overwhelmingly to Movant's "neglect" having been excusable, late filing of the proof of claim should be permitted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, the Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Rule 5011-l(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are Rules 7015, 7017, and 9006(b)(l) of the Federal 21 Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

BACKGROUND

As set forth in the Declaration of Christopher D. Moon filed contemporaneously herewith, Ms. Schneider incorrectly believed she did not have a valid claim because she rented her residence in Magalia at the time of the Camp Fire. It was not until recently that Ms. Schneider learned for the first time that she could still seek damages for her losses from the Camp Fire despite not owning the property in which she resided at the time of the Camp Fire. Upon learning of her rights, Ms. Schneider promptly contacted Moon Law and, thereafter, filed her Proof of Claim on December 27, 2021.

Q

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1) allows the enlargement of time for "an act ... required or allowed to be done at or within a specified period ... by order of court." Rule 9006(b)(l) further provides:

[T]he court for cause shown may at any time in its discretion (1) with or without motion or notice order the period enlarged if the request therefor is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed or as extended by a previous order or (2) on motion made after the expiration of the specified period permit the act to be done where the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect.

Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1). "Excusable neglect" under Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1) is a flexible concept and case law has identified four non-exclusive factors to be considered:

With regard to determining whether a party's neglect of a deadline is excusable ... we conclude that the determination is at bottom an equitable one, taking account of all relevant circumstances surrounding the party's omission. These include ... [1] the danger of prejudice to the [nonmovant], [2] the length of the delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings, [3] the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant, and [4] whether the movant acted in good faith.

Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. Partnership, 507 U.S. 380,395, 113 S.Ct. 1489,1498 (1993) (citations omitted); see also In re Orthopedic Bone Screw Prods. Liability Litig., 246 F.3d 315, 323 (3d Cir. 2001) (citing Pioneer, 507 U.S. at 395). Rule 9006(b)(l) allows "late filings caused by inadvertence, mistake, or carelessness, not just those caused by intervening circumstances beyond the party's control." *Pioneer*, 507 U.S. at 381.

In this case, because there is no danger of prejudice to the Debtors (or anyone else), the first *Pioneer* factor weighs overwhelmingly in Movant's favor. *See In re Parrott Broad. Ltd. P'ship*, 518 B.R. 602, 609 (Bankr. D. Idaho 2014) ("The burden of showing prejudice is on the party objecting to the amendment."). Any prospect of prejudice is especially unlikely here because of the form of Debtors' Plan, which provided for a lump-sum payment for the benefit of fire victims. Adding or subtracting claims from the totals does not affect that formulation of the Plan, or in any real way affect the estate.

Consideration of the second *Pioneer* factor, the length of the delay and its potential impact on these proceedings, also strongly favors the Movant. Here, although the claims bar date has passed, there is no substantive impact on these proceedings and the administration of this case. *See In re Lyondell Chemical Co.*, 543 B.R. 400, 410 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2016) (length of delay is only given meaning by its effect on the administration of the case). The Movant's "late" filing, if permitted by the Court, would have little to no appreciable impact on these proceedings.

As to the third *Pioneer* factor, the reason for the delay and whether it was in Movant's reasonable control, again weighs in favor of excusability. Movant acknowledges this Court's observation, in 2004, that "[o]n balance, ... the authorities construing *Pioneer* weigh the reasons for the delay factor most heavily." *In re Pacific Gas & Electric, Co.*, 311 B.R. 84, 91 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2004) (citing *Graphic Communications Int T Union, Local 12-N v. Quebecor Printing Providence, Inc.*, 270 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2001)). A "satisfactory explanation for the late filing" is required. *Graphic Communications* at 5. Here, Ms. Schneider incorrectly believed that she did not have a right to pursue damages for her losses from the Camp Fire because she did not own the property in Magalia in which she resided at the time of the fire. Once she learned recently that she still had a valid claim despite not owning her residence, Ms. Schneider promptly contacted Moon Law and, thereafter, filed her proof of claim on December 27, 2021.

Finally, and for the same reasons, as to the fourth *Pioneer* factor there is no reasonable suggestion that Movant failed to act in good faith.

It is also critical to note that an order granting this Motion is of course without prejudice to the rights of relevant parties (the Trustee of the Fire Victim Trust for example) to object to the Movant's claim on substantive or legal grounds, other than timeliness.

¹ That said, the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits and courts in at least two other circuits (the Third and the Eighth) have identified the danger of prejudice is the most important of the Pioneer factors. See Greyhound Lines, Inc. v. Rogers (In re Eagle Bus Mfg., Inc.), 62 F.3d 730, 737 (5th Cir. 1995) ("Under Pioneer, the central inquiry is whether the debtor will be prejudiced."); Advanced Estimating System, Inc. v. Riney, 77 F.3d 1322, 1325 (11th Cir. 1996) ("Primary importance should be accorded to the absence of prejudice to the nonmoving party and to the interest of efficient judicial administration.); In re Cable & Wireless USA, Inc., 338 B.R. 609,614 (Bankr. D. Del. 2006) (quoting In re Tannen Towers Acquisition Corp., 235 B.R. 748, 755 (D.N.J. 1999) ("In applying the Pioneer test, courts place the greatest weight on whether any prejudice to the other parties will occur by allowing a late claim."); Matter of Papp Intern., Inc., 189 B.R. 939, 944 (Bankr. D. Neb. 1995) (citing In re Sacred Heart Hos. of Norristown, 186 B.R. 891 as suggesting "the most significant [Pioneer] factor ... is that of prejudice to the debtor.").

CONCLUSION For the reasons set forth above, Movant respectfully request that this Court enter an order pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 7015, 7017, and 9006(b)(l) as follows: 1. Granting this Motion; 2. Directing that the Proof of Claim, attached as Exhibit A hereto, be deemed timely filed; and 3. Granting such other or further relief as the Court deems just and proper. Dated: December 30, 2021 **MOON LAW APC** By: CHRISTOPHER D. MOON KEVIN O. MOON Attorneys for Caroline Schneider

1	Christopher D. Moon, SBN 246622	
2	chris@moonlawapc.com Kevin O. Moon, SBN 246792	
3	kevin@moonlawapc.com MOON LAW APC	
4	228 Hamilton Ave., 3rd Fl	
5	Palo Alto, California 94301 Telephone: (619) 915-9432	
6	Facsimile: (650) 618-0478	
7	Attorneys for Caroline Schneider	
8		
9	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISON
11		
12	In re	Case No. 19-30088-DM
13	PG&E Corporation,	Chapter 11
14	and	Lead Case, Jointly Administered
15	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER D. MOON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
16	COMPANY, Debtors.	PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. PROC. 7015 AND 7017 TO ENLARGE TIME TO
17	Debtors.	FILE PROOF OF CLAIM PURSUANT TO
18	[] Affects PG&E Corporation	FED. R. BANKR. PROC. 9006(b)(1)
19	[] Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company [x] Affects both Debtors	Date: February 15, 2022 Time: 10 a.m. (Pacific Time)
20		Place: Telephonic/Video Appearances Only United States Bankruptcy Court
21	*All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088-DM	Courtroom 17, 450 Golden Gate Ave., 16 th Floor
22		San Francisco, CA Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali
23		Objection deadline: February 8, 2022
24		
25		•
26		
27		
28		
I	I	

of 21

Case: 19-30088

I, Christopher D. Moon, hereby declare:

- I am an attorney at law duly license to practice before all state and federal courts of the State of California. I am a managing partner with the law firm Moon Law APC, based in Palo Alto, California.
- 2. My law firm represents wildfire victims who sustained losses from the Camp Fire in 2018. My firm has timely filed over 50 Proof of Claim forms with Prime Clerk for losses clients have suffered as a result of the fire.
- 3. Claimant Caroline Schneider informed me in December 2021 that she had incorrectly believed that she did not have a valid claim to recover damages for her losses from the Camp Fire because she did not own the property in Magalia in which she resided at the time of the fire. Upon learning for the first time in December 2021 that she may still have a valid claim despite not being a property owner at the time of the Camp Fire, Ms. Schneider promptly contacted my law firm and, thereafter, we caused a Proof of Claim to be filed on her behalf on December 27, 2021.
- 4. I am over eighteen years of age, of sound mind, and fully competent to make this declaration. All statements in this declaration are based on my own personal knowledge and observation and from my review of the court and business records in this case, or upon information and belief as indicated. If called to testify on this matter, I can and would competently testify to the matters set forth in this Declaration.
- 5. I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 30th day of December, 2021, in Palo Alto, California.



CHRISTOPHER D. MOON

EXHIBIT A

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11786 Filed: 01/03/22 Entered: 01/03/22 22:11:08 Page 9

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

In re:
PG&E CORPORATION,
- and PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Debtors.

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11 (Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

Proof of Claim (Fire Claim Related)

Read the instructions before filing this claim form. This form is for tort claimants who have a claim against the Debtors (i.e. PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company) that arose prior to the Debtors filing for bankruptcy (i.e. prior to January 29, 2019) and that arose from, or relates to, a fire.

Do not use this form for non-fire claims. Non-fire tort claimants should use Form 410.

Do NOT file a fraudulent claim. A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Please type or print in the spaces below. Do NOT use red ink or pencil.

Part 1: Identify	the Claim		
 Who is the curren creditor? 	Schneider,	Caroline It creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this	s claim)
. Has this claim bee acquired from someone else?	No Yes. From w	nom?	
3. Are you filing this on behalf of your family? A family is a group or more people relabirth, marriage, dor partnership, or ado and residing togeth such people are considered as men of one family.	Yes of two ated by mestic ption er. All	If you checked "Yes", please provide behalf of:	de the full name of each family member that you are filing on
H. Where should not and payments to to creditor be sent? Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Proced (FRBP) 2002(g)	Name Schneid Name Schneid Name Schneid Attorney Name (if app Attorney Bar Number Street Address 600 City San Diego State CA Zip Code 92101 Phone Number 619	der, Caroline Christopher D. Moon (if applicable) 246622 West Broadway, Suite 700 915-9432 @moonlawapc.com	
5. Does this claim ar one already filed?	140	number on court claims registry (if known) Filed on
6. Do you know if an else has filed a pr of claim for this cl	oof Yes Who ma	de the earlier filing?	

P	art 2: Give Informat	ion About the Claim as of the Date this Claim Form is Filed
7.	What fire is the basis of your claim? Check all that apply.	Camp Fire (2018) North Bay Fires (2017) Ghost Ship Fire (2016) Butte Fire (2015) Other (please provide date and brief description of fire:
8.	What are the loss location(s) where you and/or your family suffered harm? (e.g. home or business address, place of injury, place from which you were evacuated, if different.?	Location(s): 13662 Nimshew Rd., Magalia, CA 95954 13658 Nimshew Rd., Magalia, CA 95954
- Constitution	How were you and/or your family harmed? Check all that apply What damages are you	Property Damage (homes, structures, personal property, land, trees, landscaping, and all other property damage) Owner Renter Occupant Other (Please specify): Personal Injury Wrongful Death (if checked, please provide the name of the deceased) Business Loss/Interruption Lost wages and earning capacity Loss of community and essential services Agricultural loss Other (Please specify): Economic damages (including replacement cost of damaged property, diminution in value, loss of use, lost
	and/or your family claiming/seeking? Check all that apply	inventory, lost profits, and other economic damage) Non-economic damages (including loss of society and support, loss of consortium, pain and suffering, emotional distress, annoyance and discomfort, and other non-economic damage) Punitive, exemplary, and statutory damages Attorney's fees and litigation costs Interest Any and all other damages recoverable under California law Other (Please specify):
11.	How much is the claim?	\$(optional) Unknown / To be determined at a later date

Part 3:

Sign Below

The person completing
this proof of claim must
sign and date it.
FRBP 9011(b).

If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both.

18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Check the	appropriate	box:
-----------	-------------	------

I am the creditor.

I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent.

I have examined the information in this *Proof of Claim* and have a reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature: Christopher D. Moon

Email: chris@moonlawapc.com

Signature

Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim:

Name	Christopher Decker Moon					
	First name	Middle name		Last name		
Title	Attorney					
Company	Moon Law APC					
	Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.					
	000144					
Address	600 West Broad	way, Suite 700				
	Number Street		***************************************			
	San Diego		CA	92101		
	City		State	ZIP Code		

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11786 Filed: 01/03/22 Entered: 01/03/22 22:11:08 Page 12

Attach Supporting Documenta	tion (limited to a single PDF attachment that is less than 5 megabytes in size and under 100 pages):
	I do not have supporting documentation.

PLEASE REVIEW YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND REDACT ACCORDINGLY PRIOR TO UPLOADING THEM. PROOFS OF CLAIM AND ATTACHMENTS ARE PUBLIC DOCUMENTS THAT WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR ANYONE TO VIEW ONLINE.

IMPORTANT NOTE REGARDING REDACTING YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION When you submit a proof of claim and any supporting documentation you must show only the last four digits of any social-security, individual's tax-identification, or financial-account number, only the initials of a minor's name, and only the year of any person's date of birth. If the claim is based on the delivery of health care goods or services, limit the disclosure of the goods or services so as to avoid embarrassment or the disclosure of confidential health care information.

A document has been redacted when the person filing it has masked, edited out, or otherwise deleted, certain information. The responsibility for redacting personal data identifiers (as defined in Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9037) rests solely with the party submitting the documentation and their counsel. Prime Clerk and the Clerk of the Court will not review any document for redaction or compliance with this Rule and you hereby release and agree to hold harmless Prime Clerk and the Clerk of the Court from the disclosure of any personal data identifiers included in your submission. In the event Prime Clerk or the Clerk of the Court discover that personal identifier data or information concerning a minor individual has been included in a pleading, Prime Clerk and the Clerk of the Court are authorized, in their sole discretion, to redact all such information from the text of the filing and make an entry indicating the correction.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11786 Filed: 01/03/22 Entered: 01/03/22 22:11:08 Page 13

Instructions for Proof of Claim (Fire Claim Related)

United States Bankruptcy Court

You may have a claim against the Debtors for monetary loss, personal injury (including death), or other asserted damages arising out of or related to a fire. You should consider obtaining the advice of an attorney, especially if you are unfamiliar with the chapter 11 process and privacy regulations.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157 and 3571.

How to fill out this form

- Fill in all of the information about the claim as of the date this claim form is filed.
- If the claim has been acquired from someone else, then state the identity of the last party who owned the claim or was the holder of the claim and who transferred it to you before the initial claim was filed.
- For a minor child, fill in only the child's initials and the full name of the child's parent or guardian. For example, write A.B., a minor child (John Doe, parent). See Bankruptcy Rule 9037.
- You may but are not required to attach supporting documents to this form.

 Supporting documents will be gathered, maintained, and provided at a later date as instructed by the Court. If you do attach documents, you should attach redacted documents as supporting documentation will be made publicly available and will not be kept confidential. See the definition of redaction of information below
- Do not attach original documents because attachments may be destroyed after scanning.
- Question 3. Members of a family may but are not required to file a proof of claim as a family but may, if they choose, submit individual claim forms for each family member that has a claim against the debtors.

- Question 9. If you suffered property damage, then provide the street address of each real property parcel where you suffered property damage. If you were personally evacuated as the result of a fire, then provide the address or intersection closest to where you encountered the fire and began evacuation. If you suffered property damage and were evacuated from a different location, include both. If you were a renter, provide the address of your residence.
- Question 10. This question requests general statements of underlying facts relating to harm and is not intended to be exhaustive or preclusive.
- Question 11. You are not required to include a claim amount with your proof of claim. Providing a claim amount at this time is optional.

Confirmation that the claim has been filed

To receive confirmation that the claim has been filed, enclose a stamped self-addressed envelope and a copy of this form together with the original. You may view a list of filed claims in this case by visiting the Claims and Noticing Agent's website at

https://restructuring.primeclerk.com/pge.

Understand the terms used in this form

Claim: A creditor's right to receive payment for a debt that the debtor owed on the date the debtor filed for bankruptcy. 11 U.S.C. §101 (5). A claim may be secured or unsecured.

Creditor: A person, corporation, or other entity to whom a debtor owes a debt that was incurred on or before the date the debtor filed for bankruptcy. 11 U.S.C. § 101 (10).

Debtor: A person, corporation, or other entity who is in bankruptcy. In this instance, PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company.

Information that is entitled to privacy: A *Proof of Claim* form and any attached documents must show only the last 4 digits of any social security number, an individual's tax identification number, or a financial account number, only the initials of a minor's name, and only the year of any person's date of birth. If a claim is based on delivering health care goods or services, limit the disclosure of the goods or services to avoid embarrassment or disclosure of confidential health care information. You may later be required to give more information if the trustee or someone else in interest objects to the claim.

Proof of claim: A form that shows the creditor has a claim against the debtors on or before the date of the bankruptcy filing (in these cases, January 29, 2019). The form must be filed in the district where the case is pending.

Redaction of information: Masking, editing out, or deleting certain information to protect privacy. Filers must redact or leave out information entitled to **privacy** on the *Proof of Claim* form and any attached documents.

Offers to purchase a claim

Certain entities purchase claims for an amount that is less than the face value of the claims. These entities may contact creditors offering to purchase their claims. Some written communications from these entities may easily be confused with official court documentation or communications from the debtor. These entities do not represent the bankruptcy court, the bankruptcy trustee, or the debtor. A creditor has no obligation to sell its claim. However, if a creditor decides to sell its claim, any transfer of that claim is subject to Bankruptcy Rule 3001(e), any provisions of the Bankruptcy Code (11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.) that apply, and any orders of the bankruptcy court that apply.

Please send completed Proof(s) of Claim to:

If by first class mail:

PG&E Corporation Claims Processing Center c/o Prime Clerk LLC Grand Central Station, PO Box 4850 New York, NY 10163-4850

If by overnight courier or hand delivery: PG&E Corporation Claims Processing Center c/o Prime Clerk LLC 850 Third Avenue, Suite 412 Brooklyn, NY 11232

You may also hand deliver your completed Proof(s) of Claim to any of the following service center offices (beginning July 15, 2019 through the Bar Date (October 21, 2019) during the hours of 8:30 a.m. – 5:00 p.m. Prevailing Pacific Time):

Chico Service Center 350 Salem Street Chico, CA 95928

Marysville Service Center 231 "D" Street Marysville, CA 95901

Napa Service Center 1850 Soscol Ave. Ste 105 Napa, CA 94559

Oroville Service Center 1567 Huntoon Street Oroville, CA 95965

Redding Service Center 3600 Meadow View Road Redding, CA 96002

Santa Rosa Service Center 111 Stony Circle Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Photocopy machines will not be available at the Claim Service Centers; you must bring a photocopy of your Proof of Claim if you wish to receive a date-stamped copy.

Do not file these instructions with your form

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11786 Filed: 01/03/22 Entered: 01/03/22 22:11:08 Page 15

Electronic Proof of Claim_C@IRF27402[[CSLT# 4025#CF]]

Final Audit Report

2021-12-27

Created:

2021-12-27

By:

Prime Clerk E-Filing (efiling@primeclerk.com)

Status:

Signed

Transaction ID:

CBJCHBCAABAApXzWZE9hqloqzdgX0eA_siYTRRsTBddX

"Electronic Proof of Claim_C@IRF27402[[CSLT#4025#CF]]" His tory

- Web Form created by Prime Clerk E-Filing (efiling@primeclerk.com) 2021-12-27 - 2:45:23 PM GMT
- Web Form filled in by Christopher D. Moon (chris@moonlawapc.com) 2021-12-27 - 2:51:37 PM GMT- IP address: 68.6.149.156
- (User email address provided through API User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_15_7) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/96.0.4664.110 Safari/537.36) 2021-12-27 - 2:51:39 PM GMT- IP address: 68.6.149.156
- Agreement completed.

2021-12-27 - 2:51:39 PM GMT

PrimeClasse: 19 30008 Doc# 11786 Filed: 01/03/22 Entered: 01/03/22 22:11:08 Page 16

1	Christopher D. Moon, SBN 246622	
2	chris@moonlawapc.com Kevin O. Moon, SBN 246792	
3	kevin@moonlawapc.com	
4	MOON LAW APC 228 Hamilton Ave., 3rd Fl	
5	Palo Alto, California 94301 Telephone: (619) 915-9432	
6	Facsimile: (650) 618-0478	
7	Attorneys for Caroline Schneider	
8		
9	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFO	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISON
11		
12	In re	Case No. 19-30088-DM
13	PG&E Corporation,	Chapter 11
14	and	Lead Case, Jointly Administered
15	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
16	COMPANY, Debtors.	Hon. Dennis Montali
17	Deotors.	
18	[] Affects PG&E Corporation	
19	[] Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company [x] Affects both Debtors	
20		
21	*All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088-DM	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case:

EXHIBIT A Standard Parties Email Service List

DESCRIPTION	NAME	ved via Email NOTICE NAME	EMAIL
Counsel to Debtor	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	Attn: Stephen Karotkin, Jessica Liou, Matthew Goren	stephen.karotkin@weil.com matthew.goren@weil.com jessica.liou@weil.com
Counsel to Debtor	Keller & Benvenutti LLP	Attn: Tobias S. Keller, Jane Kim	tkeller@kellerbenvenutti.com jkim@kellerbenvenutti.com
Special Counsel to Debtors Counsel for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as DIP Administrative Agent	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP	Attn: Paul H. Zumbro, Kevin J. Orsinit, George E. Zobitz, Stephen M. Kessing, Nicholas A. Dorsey, Omid H. Nasab Attn: Kristopher M. Hansen, Erez E. Gilad, Matthew G. Garofalo, Frank A. Merola	pzumbro@cravath.com korsini@cravath.com jzobitz@cravath.com skessing@cravath.com ndorsey@cravath.com onasab@cravath.com khansen@stroock.com egilad@stroock.com mgarofalo@stroock.com fmerola@stroock.com
Counsel for the agent under the Debtors' proposed debtor in possession financing facilities, Counsel for Citibank N.A., as Administrative Agent for the Utility Revolving Credit Facility	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	Attn: Eli J. Vonnegut, David Schiff, Timothy Graulich	eli.vonnegut@davispolk.com david.schiff@davispolk.com timothy.graulich@davispolk.com
Counsel to California Public Utilities Commission	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP	Attn: Alan W. Kornberg, Brian S. Hermann, Walter R. Rieman, Sean A. Mitchell, Neal P. Donnelly	akornberg@paulweiss.com bhermann@paulweiss.com wrieman@paulweiss.com smitchell@paulweiss.com ndonnelly@paulweiss.com
Office of the United States Trustee for Region 17	Office of the United States Trustee	Attn: James L. Snyder, Esq. & Timothy Lafreddi, Esq., Marta E. Villacorta	James.L.Snyder@usdoj.gov timothy.s.laffredi@usdoj.gov Marta.Villacorta@usdoj.gov
nterested Party United States on behalf of he Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	U.S. Department of Justice	Attn: Danielle A. Pham	danielle.pham@usdoj.gov

Page 1 of 2

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11786 Filed: 01/03/22 Entered: 01/03/22 22:11:08 Page 19

EXHIBIT A Standard Parties Email Service List

DESCRIPTION	NAME	Served via Email NOTICE NAME	EMAIL
Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	Milbank LLP	Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Samuel A. Khalil, Paul S. Aronzon, Gregory A. Bray, Thomas R. Kreller	ddunne@milbank.com skhalil@milbank.com Paronzon@milbank.com
Counsel for Official Committee of Tort Claimants	BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP	Attn: Eric E. Sagerman, Lauren T. Attard, Robert A. Julian, Cecily A. Dumas	esagerman@bakerlaw.com lattard@bakerlaw.com rjulian@bakerlaw.com cdumas@bakerlaw.com
Counsel for Shareholder Proponents	JONES DAY	Attn: Bruce S. Bennett, Joshua M. Mester, James O. Johnston	bbennett@jonesday.com jmester@jonesday.com jjohnston@jonesday.com

Page 2 of 2

EXHIBIT B Standard Parties Hardcopy First Class Mail Service List Served via First Class Mail

DESCRIPTION	NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS 1	ADDRESS 2	CITY	STATE	ZIP
Debtors	PG&E Corporation	Attn: President or General Counse	77 Reale Street	P.O. Box 77000	C . C		
	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory		ocure street	F.O. BOX 77000	San Francisco	CA	94177
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	Commission	Attn: General Counsel	U.S. NRC Region IV	1600 E. Lamar Blvd.	Arlington	TV	76011
		Attn: Bruce S. Bennett, Joshua			7 mington	11/4	70011
Counsel for Shareholder Proponents	JONES DAY	M. Mester, James O. Johnston	555 South Flower Street	Fiftieth Floor	Los Angeles	CA	90071-230

Page 1 of 1

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 11786 Filed: 01/03/22 Entered: 01/03/22 22:11:08 Page 21